

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

69/151073 APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY, DOCKET NO.

09/251,073

P LOUIS MYERS

FISH & RICHARDSON P C

225 FRANKLIN STREET BOSTON MA 02110-2804

92/15/99

EXAMINER 4-003003

HM12/0511

LOBE

ART UNIT 1644 PAPER NUMBER 10

DATE MAILED:

05/11/01 ,

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

_/		4/13/01		
Responsive to	o communication(s) filed on	4113101		
This action is FINAL.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s) or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).				
Disposition of Ci	aims			
Claim(s)	1-25		is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above,	claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
Claim(s)			is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)	1-25		is/are objected to.	
Claim(s)		ar	e subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.				
The drawing(s	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.			
The proposed	The proposed drawing correction, filed onisapprovedisapproved.			
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
AllSome* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been				
received.				
received	in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ber)	· ·	
received	in this national stage application from the In	ternational Bureau (PCT Ru	ıle 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:				
Acknowledgm	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
			•	
_	erence Cited, PTO-892			
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Draf	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
	055 05505 407			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's communication, filed 4/13/01 (Paper No. 7), has placed this application in compliance with the Sequence Rules.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are pending.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to methods of treating asthma with VLA-4-specific antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 154.1.
- II. Claims 20-21, drawn to compositions comprising VLA-4-specific antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.
- III. Claims 22-25, drawn to methods of treating asthma with soluble VCAM-1 polypeptides, classified in Class 424, subclass 184.1.
- 4. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity purification procedures or detection assays.

- 5. Inventions I and III are different methods; which require different ingredients. Antibodies and soluble polypeptides differ with respect to their structure, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not envision one in view of the other. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Group I: wherein the method comprises:
 - A) VLA-4-specific antibody,
 - B) VLA-4-specific antibody and anti-ELAM-1 antibody,
 - C) VLA-4-specific antibody and anti-ICAM-1 antibody, and
 - D) VLA-4-specific antibody, anti-ELAM-1 antibody and anti-ICAM-1 antibody.

These species are distinct because their structures and physicochemical properties as well as specificities differ to the extent that a person of ordinary skill in the art would not envision one in view of the other. Therefore, they are separate and patentably distinct species issues in determining patentability.

Serial No. 09/251073 Art Unit 1644

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic, for example.

7. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (703) 308-3997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Serial No. 09/251073 Art Unit 1644

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

PHILLIPGAMBER

Phillip Gambel, PhD. Primary Examiner Technology Center 1600 May 7, 2001